

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/585,544	Applicant(s) MASUMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner Hien D. Vu	Art Unit 2839

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Mr. Hien D. Vu. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Frederick Dorchak. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejections

Claims discussed:

1 and 6-9

Prior art documents discussed:

Tanaka

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed and agreed upon changes to clarify the claimed invention of claim 1 to more clearly set forth the intended concept of the invention and to further define over the prior art of record, to cancel claims 6,7 and 9 thereby to place the case in condition for allowance.